# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Takayoshi Suzuki

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: suzuki@chem.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 296 KMean  $\sigma(\text{C-C}) = 0.012 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.049 wR factor = 0.138Data-to-parameter ratio = 22.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Azido(2,2'-bipyridine)( $\eta^5$ -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)iridium(III) hexafluorophosphate

In the title compound,  $[Ir(C_{10}H_{15})(N_3)(C_{10}H_8N_2)]PF_6$ , the linear azide ligand adopts an end-on terminal coordination mode with a relatively long Ir-N bond of 2.230 (6) Å. The azide is asymmetric in an unusual way: the azide N-N bond adjacent to the azide Ir-N bond [1.025 (12) Å] is significantly shorter than the terminal azide N-N bond [1.282 (15) Å], while the azide Ir-N-N bond angle is 116.4 (6)°.

Received 31 January 2005 Accepted 2 February 2005 Online 12 February 2005

### Comment

The azide anion,  $N_3^-$ , is a versatile ligand because it shows a variety of coordination modes, e.g. end-on monodentate, oneend bridging and end-to-end bridging modes, and also because its complexes exhibit interesting thermal and photochemical reactivities (Dori & Ziolo, 1973; Meyer et al., 1998; Huynh et al., 2003). We are currently studying the photochemistry of iridium(III) azido complexes (Suzuki et al., 2003), and have prepared several new  $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{III}} - \mathrm{N}_3$  complexes bearing pentamethylcyclopentadienyl (Cp\*,  $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>) ligands. Although a large number of transition metal azido complexes have been characterized by X-ray diffraction, there have been only three reports to date of crystal structure analyses of iridium(III) complexes, namely cis-IrH<sub>2</sub>(N<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Walker & Strähle, 1983),  $[^{n}Bu_{4}N][Ir(pc)(N_{3})(aC)]$  [where pc is phthalocyaninate(2-) and aC is acetonato(C); Hückstädt & Homborg, 1998], and Cp\*Ir(Me<sub>2</sub>dtc)(N<sub>3</sub>) (where Me<sub>2</sub>dtc is dimethyldithiocarbamate; Suzuki et al., 2003). Here, we describe the crystal structure of a new Ir<sup>III</sup>-N<sub>3</sub> complex incorporating 2,2'bipyridine (bpy), the title compound,  $[Cp*Ir(bpy)(N_3)]PF_6$ , (I).



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





A view of the cationic complex in (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are represented by circles of arbitrary size.

The present X-ray analysis reveals the monomeric structure of the cationic complex in (I), which is depicted in Fig. 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 1. The structural parameters in the Cp\*Ir(bpy) moiety of (I) are normal compared with those of the analogous [Cp\*IrX(bpy)]Y complexes, *e.g.* X = Y = Cl (Youinou & Ziessel, 1989), X = Cl and  $Y = ClO_4$  (Dadci *et al.*, 1995), and X = OH and  $Y = CF_3SO_3$ , and  $X = H_2O$  and  $Y = (CF_3SO_3)_2$ (Ogo *et al.*, 2001). The Ir–C(Cp\*) and Ir–N(bpy) bond lengths in (I) are in the ranges 2.145 (7)–2.179 (7) Å and 2.086 (5)– 2.097 (5) Å, respectively, and the bite angle of the bpy moiety (N4–Ir1–N5) is 76.8 (2)°.

The azide is almost linear  $[N1-N2-N3\ 172.3\ (10)^\circ]$  and acts as an end-on terminal ligand. The Ir1–N1 coordination bond is 2.230 (6) Å, which is considerably longer than those in Cp\*Ir(Me<sub>2</sub>dtc)(N<sub>3</sub>) [2.120 (6) Å] and *cis*-IrH<sub>2</sub>(N<sub>3</sub>)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [2.09 (3) Å]. However, a similarly long Ir—N(azide) bond was also found in ["Bu<sub>4</sub>N][Ir(pc)(N<sub>3</sub>)(aC)] [2.22 (1) and 2.24 (1) Å for two crystallographically independent anions]. Related to this Ir—N(azide) bond elongation, an unusual structural feature of the coordinated azide is observed in compounds (I) and ["Bu<sub>4</sub>N][Ir(pc)(N<sub>3</sub>)(aC)]. Typically, the coordinated azide is either symmetric (the two N—N distances are nearly equal), or asymmetric in such a way that the N—N bond between the coordinated and the central N atoms is longer than the other N—N bond, owing to the two canonical structures [(*a*) and (*b*) in the scheme] contributing to the ground-state geometry of the coordinated azide (Dori & Ziolo, 1973). In contrast, in the case of compound (I), the N1-N2 bond [1.025 (12) Å] adjacent to the Ir-N1 bond is significantly shorter than the N2-N3 bond [1.282 (15) Å]. A similar tendency was also observed in  $[^{n}Bu_{4}N][Ir(pc)(N_{3})(aC)]$  [0.94 (1)/0.88 (1) Å versus 1.22 (2)/ 1.35 (2) Å]. The third canonical form, in which the bond between the coordinated and the central N atoms is a triple bond [(c) in the scheme], would be possible, but in this case the M-N-N linkage should be linear, similar to organic diazo or nitrile complexes. In (I), and also in  $[^{n}Bu_{4}N][Ir(pc)(N_{3})(aC)]$ , the Ir-N1-N2 linkage is apparently not linear, with Ir1- $N1-N2 = 116.4 (6)^{\circ}$  [the corresponding angles in the pc complex are 120.4 (1) and 120.6 (1) $^{\circ}$ ]. Although we cannot explain appropriately the unusual nature of the coordinated azide in (I) at present, the contribution from the third canonical form may be important to some extent for the weakly bound azide ligand.

It is also noted that compound (I) and  $[{}^{n}Bu_{4}N]$ -[Ir(pc)(N<sub>3</sub>)(aC)] both show a  $\nu_{as}(N_{3})$  stretching band in a lower energy region, at 2022 and 2017 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, compared with the typical values of these bands in azido complexes (2120–2030 cm<sup>-1</sup>; Agrell, 1971).

### **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared by the reaction of  $[Cp*Ir(N_3)_2]_2$  (191 mg, 0.23 mmol) (Freedman & Mann, 1991) and 2,2'-bipyridine (73 mg, 0.47 mmol) in methanol (10 ml), followed by the addition of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (516 mg in 2 ml of MeOH). The crude product was recrystallized from an acetonitrile solution by diffusion of Et<sub>2</sub>O vapour, affording orange prismatic crystals (yield 228 mg, 93%). Spectroscopic analysis: IR [Nujol,  $v_{as}(N_3) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ]: 2022; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 303 K,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.): 8.88 (*ddd*, 2H, J = 5.5, 1.5 and 0.7 Hz, bpy-6,6'-H), 8.47 (*ddd*, 2H, J = 8.2, 1.5 and 0.7 Hz, bpy-3,3'-H), 8.23 (*ddd*, 2H, J = 8.2, 7.7 and 1.5 Hz, bpy-4,4'-H), 7.80 (*ddd*, 2H, J = 7.7, 5.5 and 1.5 Hz, bpy-5,5'-H), 1.67 (*s*, 15H, Cp\*); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 303 K,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.): 156.3, 152.1, 141.2, 129.7, 125.0 (bpy), 90.4, 8.5 (Cp\*); analysis, calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>5</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrP: C 35.82, H 3.46, N 10.44%; found: C 35.87, H 3.35, N 10.45%.

$[Ir(C_{10}H_{15})(N_3)(C_{10}H_8N_2)]PF_6$	Z = 2
$M_r = 670.60$	$D_x = 1.917 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 8.1208 (17) \text{\AA}$	Cell parameters from 25
b = 11.307 (4)  Å	reflections
c = 13.022 (3) Å	$\theta = 12.6 - 14.6^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 83.58 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 5.88 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 82.954 \ (19)^{\circ}$	T = 296 (2)  K
$\gamma = 79.53 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Prism, orange
$V = 1162.1 (5) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.039$ Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans  $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^{\circ}$  $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ Absorption correction: integration  $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$ (Coppens et al., 1965)  $T_{\min} = 0.321, T_{\max} = 0.473$  $l = -6 \rightarrow 18$ 7156 measured reflections 3 standard reflections 6752 independent reflections every 150 reflections 5489 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ intensity decay: 18.3%

# metal-organic papers

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.099P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	+ 0.8347P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.138$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
6752 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 3.07 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
299 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -4.13 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ir1-N1	2.230 (6)	Ir1-C3	2.159 (7)
Ir1-N4	2.097 (5)	Ir1-C4	2.145 (7)
Ir1-N5	2.086 (5)	Ir1-C5	2.158 (7)
Ir1-C1	2.156 (6)	N1-N2	1.025 (12)
Ir1-C2	2.179 (7)	N2-N3	1.282 (15)
N1-Ir1-N4	86.2 (2)	Ir1-N1-N2	116.4 (6)
N1-Ir1-N5	86.3 (2)	N1-N2-N3	172.3 (10)
N4-Ir1-N5	76.8 (2)		

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.95 Å and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The highest peak and deepest hole in the difference map are located 0.89 and 0.77 Å, respectively, from atom Ir1.

Data collection: WinAFC Diffactometer Control Software (Rigaku, 1999); cell refinement: WinAFC Diffactometer Control Software; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2000-2004); program(s) used to solve structure: DIRDIF99 (Beurskens et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The author is grateful to Professor James M. Mayer (University of Washington, USA) for valuable discussion and

comments; this study was started in his group while the author was there as a visiting scientist. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 16550055) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan. Additional support from the US National Science Foundation (grant No. CHE0204697 to James M. Mayer, University of Washington, USA) is also acknowledged.

### References

- Agrell, I. (1971). Acta Chem. Scand. 25, 2965-2974.
- Beurskens, P. T., Beurskens, G., de Gelder, R., García-Granda, S., Israel, R., Gould, R. O. & Smits, J. M. M. (1999). *The DIRDIF99 Program System*. Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Coppens, P., Leiserowitz, L. & Rabinovich, D. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 1035– 1038.
- Dadci, L., Elias, H., Frey, U., Hörnig, A., Koelle, U., Merbach, A. E., Paulus, H. & Schneider, J. S. (1995). *Inorg. Chem.* 36, 306–315.
- Dori, Z. & Ziolo, R. F. (1973). Chem. Rev. 73, 247-254.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Freedman, D. A. & Mann, K. R. (1991). Inorg. Chem. 30, 836-840.
- Hückstädt, H. & Homborg, H. (1998). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 624, 980–986.
- Huynh, M. H. V., Meyer, T. J., Labouriau, A., Morris, D. E. & White, P. S. (2003). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 2828–2829.
- Meyer, K., Bendix, J., Metzler-Nolte, N., Weyhermüller, T. & Wieghardt, K. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **120**, 7260–7270.
- Ogo, S., Makihara, N., Kaneko, Y. & Watanabe, Y. (2001). Organometallics, 20, 4903–4910.
- Rigaku (1999). WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2000–2004). CrystalStructure. Rigaku/MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381-5209, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Suzuki, T., Dipasquale, A. & Mayer, J. M. (2003). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 10514–10515; CCDC deposition No. 212113.
- Walker, I. & Strähle, J. (1983). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 506, 13-21.
- Youinou, M. T. & Ziessel, R. (1989). J. Organomet. Chem. 363, 197–208.